IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 20297 of 2005

Between:

V.V.S. Prakasha Rao, S/o. Sri Ramamurthy, Hindu
Nidadavole Mandal, W.G. District,

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Station House Officer, Nidadavole Rural Police Station,

Nidadavole, W.G. District,
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kovvur, W. G. District,
3. Village Secretary, Jeedigunta Village and Gram Panchayat,

Nidadavole Mandal,
W.G. District,
4. Sri Rannem Ramakrishna S/o. Venkata Ratnam
R/o. Jeedigunta Village, Nidadavole Mandal, W.G. District,

.....RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

-

Challenging the action of the respondents in harassing the petitioner without any cause and interfering with the day to day life activities, the present writ petition has been filed.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home, the learned Government Pleader for Revenue and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the fourth respondent.

As seen from the material available on record, it appears that the allegations of the petitioner made in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition were clearly denied by the first respondent by filing a counter affidavit.

Since the allegations were denied by the respondent in the counter affidavit, the same cannot be resolved by this Court while exercising its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, since allegations made in the affidavit of the petitioner filed in support of the writ petition are baseless, I am not inclined to go into the merits of the case.

Accordingly, the writ petition is closed, leaving open all the remedies available to the petitioner under law. No costs.

_____

(K.C. BHANU, J)

Date: 06.10.2005

Ksn